Solution Provider





PMC HYDRAULICS - Your global expert in hydraulics



Hydraulic expertise for your application

PMC Hydraulics is the leading provider of customized hydraulic systems and components for customers in the industrial, energy, mobile and marine sectors.

Nordic leader with global reach and local presence

Having operations across the Nordic countries, and production sites in Poland, China and India, we are the global expert for our customers with a strong local presence.

Hydraulics power optimized to your needs

Our comprehensive choice extends from components to customized systems. We also represent brands from leading component suppliers.

The Case

How can we be more Cost Effective and Improve our Competiveness, with a different Warehouse Set-up and the Way of Working with Equal or Improved Customer Service

- » Reduce Cost, both Fixed and Direct
- » Improve our purchasing to get a positive effect on Quantity Based Discounts
- » Improve Inventory Performance
- » Lead time effects

Can we have one Central Warehouse and if Yes,

Where? What is the Expected Effect?

Present Set-up

Country	Assembly	WH
SWEDEN	3	3
FINLAND	2	3
DENMARK	2	2
POLAND	1	1
CHINA	1	1
INDIA	1	1
COUNT	10	11

Centralized Warehouse

pmchydraulics

Scenarios

- 1. Existing Warehouses remains in each country, but optimization based on what items to be purchased from each country
- 2. Centralized WH on "optimal" location, might require some Hubs in different countries
 - 1. Existing premise/location
 - 2. New location
- 3. Centralized WH in Poland close to existing factory in Szczecin This require renting new premise / building a new WH

Selection Process

- Meetings with different Consultant Companies
- Important to get facts, for decision making and to be able to convince other stakeholders
- Time for deliverables was extremely important
- Experience from similar projects and existing tools/processes
- Not a *one man show*, and someone to question our own pre-assumptions
- Resource demand from our company should be limited
- "At the end a good feeling"

The Project

- Time plan very tight with clear dates with deliverables
 - Decision to make the project in two phases
- Project Team, Project Meetings
 - Critical with correct resources able to extract data from ERPs
 - Able to make simplifications on inaccurate data
 - Follow-up for validation and checks
- Data
 - Cost Components
 - Transaction data
 - Most data extracted from different ERPs but also freight cost/times from freight forwarders

Concept of analysis in two steps

Supply Chain model to optimize network

- Point of Gravity calculation for demand
- Minimize total cost per scenario
 - Transport
 - Inventory
 - Purchase
 - Fixed WHS
- Sensitivity analysis
 - 2019 demand

PMCHydraulicsSCG_161129 (Copy)	imization Transportation Optimization						S	upply Chain Gur	u [PMC]				
Status Scenarios MOO	Demand Production Transportation Inventor	y Greenfield	ain Demand Pr	oduction Transporta	ion Inventory	My My raphs Metrics	++++ +++ Tableau Graphs						
Run Model	Optimization Metrics		G	raphs and Reports		Custom Rep	orting						
Project Navigator 88													
	Products [PMCHydrauli	csSCG_161129	(Copy)]								- 8 %		
PMCHydraulicsSCG_161129 (Copy)	🚽 🔤 💳 🚟 🛃 🤮	🔱 😥 🚺	N 👪 HI	😼 🏝 🏅	(Unfiltered)		- 7 1	🖌 🍆 🏋 Rov	Limit: 1000	9			
MCHydraulicsSCG_161129 (Copy 2)	Name	Value	Price	Weight	Cubic	Туре	S	tatus CI	ass Shel	Life Lead Time (Cost/Day/Unit	1	
	0-106127.1 0.	8800 0		1	1	Discrete	Include	100					
	02-102552	Transportatio	n Policies (PM	CHydraulicsSCG	_161129 (Copy								-
Standard Tables	02-108909			32 III III I	1 H R. I	× 📰 🕯	(Unfilter	ed) -	XXX	Kow Limit: 100	0 0		
roducts (3,789)	02-109047	Source S	ite .	Destination Site	Product N	lame	Mode	Mode Rule	Paramatar	Transportation Police	Status	Average Cost	-
Jamand (20.830)	02-109336	Source S		ocaumuudii alle	Floduct		mode	mode Rule	i urameter	rrunsportation Policy	Judius	Average Cost	
pursing Policies (172 629)	02-1125607-CAR	DC EL 201	LICUST	EL 30268100	1028880	N	lode	First	1	I TI	Include	1.6346	W
wentory Policies (1/2,020)	02-125609-CAR	DC FI 201	CUST	FI 3417000	1028880	N	Aode	First	1	LTL	Include	1.6346	W
recenced at the Policies (169 275)	02-135315	DC_FI_201	CUST	FI_5204200	1028880	N	lode	First	1	LTL	Include	1.6346	W
revine (7)	02-137113-CR	DC_FI_201	CUST	_FI_5210000	1028880	N	Aode	First	1	LTL	Include	1.6346	W
noups (7)	02-137117-CR	DC_FI_201	CUST	_FI_5235000	1028880	N	/lode	First	1	LTL	Include	1.6346	W
									1	LTL	Include	1.6346	W
	Scenarios (PMCHydraulicsSCG_161	129 (Copy)]						- • ×	1	LTL	Include	1.6346	W
		8 a 1	ab D	AX	All Scenarios	- 1			1	LIL	Include	3.2692	
		ALL NO. CO.	vac –	-98					1	LIL	Include	1.6346	
	New Delete Rename Copy Copy as Dis	sable New Edit	Rename Copy	New *		× 1			1	ITI	Include	1.6346	- 10
									1	LTL	Include	0.0000	W
	Scenario Options		Item Option	8	Filter				1	LTL	Include	0.0000	W
	Name		Table	Colu	00	Vali	10	Fil	1	LTL	Include	0.0000	W
	b 1 Parating EUD (1 auto according)		Tuble	Colu		Yun	76		1	LTL	Include	3.0708	W
Standard Tables	 Daschine EON (1 sub-scenarios) 2 One DC SE Ackim (1 sub-scenarios) 								1	LTL	Include	0.0000	W
	3 One DC SE Ystad (1 sub-scenarios)								1	LTL	Include	0.0000	W
Multi-Time Period	4. One DC Tampere (1 sub-scenarios)								1	LTL	Include	0.0000	W
Constraints	5. One DC Poland (1 sub-scenarios)								-	LTL	Include	1.6264	
	6. One DC Per Country (1 sub-scenario)	s)							1	ITI	Include	0.0000	-10
Optimization Output	7. PoG (1 sub-scenarios)								i	LTL	Include	1.5354	-R
	8. Baseline 2019 (Disabled) (1 sub-scer	arios)							1	LTL	Include	0.0000	W
Project Documents	9. One DC Askim 2019 (Disabled) (1 su 10.00 DC Askim 2019 (Disabled) (1 su	b-scenarios)							1	LTL	Include	1.5354	W
-	P TU. One DC Tampere 2019 (Disabled) (11 One DC Delevel 2010 (Disabled) (1)	I sub-scenanos)							1	LTL	Include	1.5354	W
`	FIL One DC Portand 2019 (Disabled) (1) 12 One DC Por Country 2019 Com/ (Disabled)	sub-scenářios) osbladi (1. aub. aag	(mine)						1	LTL	Include	1.5354	W
	 12. One DC Fer Country 2019 Copy (Di 13. PoG 2019 (Disabled) (1 sub-scenario) 	saurouy (1 SUD-SCE ce)	anos)						1	LTL	Include	0.0000	W
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	~,							1	LTL	Include	0.0000	W
									1	LTL	Include	0.0000	W
									1	LIL	Include	0.0000	- W
									1	LTL	Include	0.0000	
									1	171	Include	0.0000	-12
									1	LTL	Include	0.0000	W

Current network (as-is): Existing supply chain with 7 local warehouses

- Local presence in all market
- The total supply chain cost in the model equals XX MEUR, covering the components and spare parts sales from 7 WHSs in 4 countries

Total supply chain cost

SC1: One WHS based on point of gravity suggests a central warehouse in Tampere

Outbound flows from central whs

- Potential in total cost reduction with only one WHS in Finland used instead of 7 local WHSs.
- Increased lead time to customer

Concept of analysis in two steps

SC1: Small overlap in assortment limits the purchase cost effect

- Purchase cost per country
- Best price applied to all countries
- Potential saving

	FIN	SWE	DEN	POL	Grand Total
Total Purchase Value (EUR)	20, 200, 005	00.404.407	4.040.000	0 705 007	FE 050 /00
Purchase Value multi site products (EUR)					
PurchaseValue Min multi site products					
Potential					

 The effect of a central purchase setup is independent of the location of the warehouse

SC1: Total inventory level has a small potential to be reduced by a central WHS structure

- By centralizing stock to one WHS total inventory value can be reduced
 - Limited potential due to _
 - between markets

Service Level

>mchydraulics

100%

SC1: Transport time to customers from Tampere is in many cases a couple of days longer than today

Findings

- Overlapping articles far less than assumed
 - Effect will be less positive than expected
- Point of Gravity (Volume and distance factor)
 - Opens up two pretty equal candidates
 - Will lower Customer service
- Lowest Cost option point on
 - One specific location of centralized WH
 - Worst Customer Service
- Best Customer Service
 - One WH in every country

Lessons learned:

- Great with some quantitative data for decision making
- Several options to be considered dependent on what factor to optimize - at the end a qualitative decision
- A lot of findings that can be used to build up a different strategy for a longer term

pmchydraulics